

Appendix D: Minnesota Toxic Emissions Inventory

INTRODUCTION

The development of the Minnesota portion of the regional air toxics emission inventory follows the instructions illustrated in the protocol document and uses the Regional Air Pollution Inventory Development System (RAPIDS) to estimate emissions. However, because Minnesota does not have air toxic emission inventory reporting requirements for all industrial point sources, we have established an alternative approach for development of the Minnesota inventory that meets the protocol requirements. Using this approach, 1246 point sources were estimated to have emissions for one or more pollutants listed in the 2002 Great Lakes regional air toxics emission inventory. Also, various area sources were examined and emissions were estimated for 33 area source categories. Presented in the following sections is a detailed discussion on data acquisition, emission estimation, quality assurance and quality control plans, and uncertainties inherent in the inventory.

METHODOLOGY

Data Acquisition

The 2002 Minnesota portion of the air toxic emission inventory includes three principal source categories: point, area, and mobile sources.

Point Source Emissions

Minnesota does not have air toxic emission inventory reporting requirements for all industrial point sources. However, emission data for point sources are collected for the Minnesota criteria pollutant emission inventory (MCEI). Therefore, for the purpose of the Minnesota air toxics emission inventory, point sources are identified as facilities that are required to submit their annual inventories of criteria pollutants (carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, particulate matter, particulate matter smaller than 10 microns, lead, sulfur dioxide, and volatile organic compounds) to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA). According to this definition, there were a total of 2243 point sources in Minnesota in calendar year 2002.

Point sources were also extended to include 45 facilities that reported to TRI but not to the MCEI and 5 facilities from the 2002 tribal inventories.

To estimate emissions of air toxic pollutants from Minnesota point sources, computer software, MIRROR, was developed to convert the MCEI data into the RAPIDS computing environment.

Area Source Emissions

Area sources are stationary sources that are not required to submit criteria pollutant data to the MPCA. The categories of area sources have been determined by the Great Lakes States after reviewing the *Documentation For The Draft 2002 Nonpoint Source National Emission Inventory For Criteria And Hazardous Air Pollutants (March 2005 Version)*, Emission Inventory Improvement Program (EIIP) documents and other available information.^{1,2} The emission data for area sources were obtained from surveys, literature, and the submittals for the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants. There are 33 categories and 81 sub-categories

of area sources included in Minnesota portion of the regional emission inventory. Table D-1 lists all these categories along with activity data and information sources.

Mobile Source Emissions

There are five subcategories of Mobile Source Emissions: on-road sources, non-road sources, aircraft, commercial marine vessels, and locomotives. The MPCA used air toxics emissions estimations in the Draft 2002 National Emissions Inventory (NEI) for non-road sources other than ground support equipment, recreational marine equipment, and snowmobiles.³ MPCA used state-specific data to spatially allocate recreational marine and snowmobile emissions, and used state-specific weather data to estimate snowmobile emissions. MPCA used state-specific data to calculate emissions estimates for on-road sources, aircraft, ground support equipment, and locomotives. Emissions estimates for commercial marine vessels produced for CENRAP using state-specific data were used in place of U.S. EPA commercial marine vessel emissions estimates.

EMISSION ESTIMATION

Point Sources

RAPIDS was used to compile Minnesota's air toxics inventory for point sources. The approach was to first separately identify each device/process at each facility, and then estimate emissions for each device/process. The following describes the available emission estimation methods and their prioritization for use in the emission inventory.

Direct reporting values

Because Minnesota does not have a rule to require all point sources to report air toxics emissions, in April 2003, MPCA sent a letter to 505 facilities with regular permits. This letter was also posted on the MPCA web site along with reporting guidance. About 57% of facilities (288) responded, including refineries and other manufacturing facilities.

Also, in April 2003, a separate letter was sent to 256 facilities holding Option D air quality permits with actual VOC emissions of more than 5 tons in 2001. These facilities use paints and primers, cleaning solvents, printing solutions, and paint thinners, and are required to track monthly HAP emissions. Thirty five of these facilities had reduced their VOC emissions below 5 tons for 2002, relieving the HAP tracking requirement. Letters were also sent to 32 fiberglass fabrication facilities and 19 foundries. 269 complete responses were received (about 99% responded). The reported information was examined and appropriate emissions were used.

In addition, lead (Pb) emissions were provided by facilities for the criteria pollutant inventory. These values were adapted to the air toxics emission inventory in order to maintain the consistency in these two MPCA inventories.

Emission factors

An emission factor is defined as "a representative value that attempts to relate the quantity of a pollutant released to the atmosphere with an activity associated with the release of that pollutant."⁵ Emission factors can be either source-specific or generic. In the current version of RAPIDS, the emission factors from the EPA Factor Information Retrieval (FIRE) Data System, version 6.24 was used as generic emission factors.⁶ In most cases, these emission factors are

derived from actual measurements of the emissions from representative sources/processes, and are assumed to be the long-term averages for all facilities in the source category. The source-specific emission factors are derived from source-specific emission testing, mass balance, or chemical analysis. Therefore, they are preferred for estimating emissions from a source.

The MPCA has focused on developing source-specific emission factors. Some source-specific emission factors were developed based on the information in facility permit applications and stack testing reports. Metal Mining/Iron Ores Process and Electric Services/Coal Burning facilities were selected for this special effort. A detailed discussion on the development of emission factors and the emission inventory for these two industrial sectors was presented in two papers.^{7,8}

Since FIRE does not contain emission factors for all processes, generic emission factors from similar processes were used as state-specific emission factors for processes that didn't have generic emission factors. In processes, where only controlled emission factors were available, controlled emission factors were adopted as state-specific uncontrolled emission factors.

TRI data

The TRI is compiled by the Minnesota Department of Public Safety for manufacturing point sources with certain reporting thresholds. The emission data are facility-based and of unknown accuracy. For many facilities reporting to the TRI, the emission estimates appear to be incomplete in terms of the number of pollutants included. However, when the source-specific or generic emission factors were not available, TRI emissions were used for some facilities. For the MN inventory, we incorporated TRI emissions for 56 facilities.

Area Sources

Source-specific emission factors and speciation profiles were developed for each area source category. Then, the county-level activity data were imported to RAPIDS and emission estimates were calculated by using the emission factor method and speciation method. In the speciation method, emissions of particulate matter (PM) or total organic gases (TOG) were speciated to individual air toxic compounds using speciation profiles.

Activity Data Pre-Treatment

There are different levels of source activity data available for different categories of area sources. Source activities are any parameters associated with the source that are surrogates for emissions, for example, fuel throughput, solvent usage, or population. Some source categories, such as Dry Cleaning, Chromium Electroplating, Halogenated Solvent Cleaners, need to comply with NESHAPs and the source-level or process-level activity data are available from the initial notification forms. In this case, spreadsheets were used to aggregate emission data for all similar or identical device/processes within each county. For example, for dry cleaners, county total tetrachloroethylene consumption values were calculated for all dry-to-dry machines with control, all dry-to-dry machines without control, all transfer machines with control, and all transfer machines without control, using tetrachloroethylene consumption data from each individual dry cleaner within the county.

However, for some area sources direct activity data are not available at the county level. In these cases, statewide activity data were apportioned to each county based on appropriate activity

indicators. For example, fuel consumption data for Residential Fuel Combustion were calculated from the state fuel consumption by using population data. If state-level activity data were not available, appropriate surrogate activity data were used. For example, county-based population data were used as the most appropriate or applicable activity data for commercial and consumer solvent products and architectural surface coating.

Source-Specific Emission Factors and Speciation Profiles

Since FIRE versions 6.24 and 6.25 and SPECIATE version 3.2 contain few emission factors and speciation profiles for area sources, source-specific emission factors and speciation profiles were developed for the area sources included in the Minnesota portion of the regional emission inventory.^{6,9} These emission factors and speciation profiles were compiled from a review of available literature. Given first preference were EPA publications or studies, such as the 2002 NEI documents and Emission Inventory Improvement Program (EIIP) documents.^{2,1} If information was not available for a source category, emission factors for similar processes or sources were used as surrogates such as the use of emission factors for commercial/institution combustion to estimate emissions from residential fuel combustion.

The resulting approaches and methodologies have been documented in the emission estimation protocols for Minnesota area sources.¹⁰

On-Road Sources

Minnesota's 2002 statewide air toxics emissions inventory used the MOBILE6.2 Vehicle Emission Modeling Software.¹¹

County air toxics emissions estimates are the sum of the emissions estimates for 26 vehicle types and up to 12 roadway types.

The 26 vehicle types included are:

- Light Duty Gas Vehicles
- Light Duty Gas Trucks 1
- Light Duty Gas Trucks 2
- Light Duty Gas Trucks 3
- Light Duty Gas Trucks 4
- Class 2b Heavy Duty Gas Vehicles
- Class 3 Heavy Duty Gas Vehicles
- Class 4 Heavy Duty Gas Vehicles
- Class 5 Heavy Duty Gas Vehicles
- Class 6 Heavy Duty Gas Vehicles
- Class 7 Heavy Duty Gas Vehicles
- Light Duty Diesel Vehicles
- Light Duty Diesel Trucks 1 And 2
- Class 2b Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicles
- Class 3 Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicles
- Class 4 Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicles
- Class 5 Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicles
- Class 6 Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicles

- Class 7 Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicles
- Class 8a Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicles
- Class 8b Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicles
- Motorcycles
- Gas Buses (School, Transit And Urban)
- Diesel Transit And Urban Buses
- Diesel School Buses
- Light Duty Diesel Trucks 3 And 4

The twelve roadway types included are:

- Rural Interstate
- Rural Local
- Rural Major Collector
- Rural Minor Arterial
- Rural Minor Collector
- Rural Other Principal Arterial
- Urban Collector
- Urban Interstate
- Urban Local
- Urban Minor Arterial
- Urban Other Freeways and expressway
- Urban Other Principal Arterial

Non-Road Sources

Minnesota's 2002 statewide air toxics emissions inventory used the Draft 2002 NEI emissions based on the NONROAD model.^{3,13}

County air toxics emissions estimates are the sum of the emissions estimates for 205 equipment types in ten categories. The equipment categories included are:

- Agricultural Equipment
- Commercial Equipment
- Construction and Mining Equipment
- Industrial Equipment
- Lawn and Garden Equipment
- Logging Equipment
- Pleasure Craft
- Railroad Equipment
- Recreational Equipment

Aircraft auxiliary power units, however, were treated differently. Emissions estimates for auxiliary power units were speciated from criteria pollutant estimates generated by the Emissions and Dispersion Modeling System (EDMS) version 4.12⁷ produced by the Federal Aviation Administration and commercial aircraft activity data received from the Bureau of Transportation Statistics.⁸ The 1999 NEI speciation factors for commercial aircraft⁹ were used for auxiliary power units.

Aircraft, Ground Support Equipment, and Auxiliary Power Units

Commercial Aircraft

MPCA used detailed Bureau of Transportation Statistics landing and takeoff (LTO) data and the Emissions and Dispersion Modeling System (EDMS) version 4.12 model data to estimate hydrocarbon emissions.^{14,15} Hydrocarbon emissions were converted to VOC and TOG using NEI conversion factors.¹⁶ Air toxics emissions were estimated by speciating VOC or TOG emissions with NEI speciation factors.¹⁶

Air Taxis and General Aviation

MPCA used two sources of activity data to calculate Air Taxis and General Aviation air toxics emissions estimates. One source of data was the Air Traffic Activity Data System (ATADS).¹⁷ ATADS provides the actual number of aircraft operations for a limited number of airports. Since landing and taking off are both counted as aircraft operations, the number of LTOs is one half of the number of operations. MPCA also used information from the Terminal Area Forecast System (TAF).¹⁸ TAF provides estimates of the number of aircraft operations for a large number of airports. For airports that were included in both ATADS and TAF, the ATADS data was used instead of the TAF activity estimate.

While there is some concern over using the TAF activity estimates, it is reasonable to use the TAF estimates for calculating air toxics emissions estimates for the following reasons:

- TAF is the official aviation activity forecast of the Federal Aviation Administration and is intended to be used by state authorities for planning purposes.
- TAF estimates are very similar to ATADS data for the Minnesota airports that are included in both data sets.
- Contact with airport managers in Minnesota indicated that TAF estimates are usually reasonable.
- Excluding the TAF estimates would underestimate the air taxi and general aviation activity in Minnesota because few airports are included in ATADS.

MPCA converted aircraft operations to LTOs, then applied NEI emission and speciation factors to estimate air toxics emissions for air taxis and general aviation.¹⁹ MPCA estimated lead emissions by estimating the total amount of lead in aviation gasoline used in the state, dividing it by the estimated piston-engine LTOs, and multiplying by the emission fraction from *Locating and Estimating Air Emissions from Sources of Lead and Lead Compounds*.¹²

Commercial Marine Vessels

Commercial marine vessel emissions are divided into *underway* and *in port* emissions. Commercial marine vessel emissions were based on criteria emissions estimates produced by SonomaTech, Inc. for CENRAP.²⁴

MPCA used a speciation method in order to calculate emissions some pollutants that were not included in the U.S. EPA's air toxics emissions estimates.

Locomotives

Line haul locomotive air toxics emissions estimation was split into three categories: Class I Railroads, Class II and Class III Railroads, and Passenger railroads. Minnesota used Class I fuel consumption data from CENRAP and contacted Class II, Class III and Passenger Railroads for

fuel consumption data. For each railroad category, MPCA distributed diesel fuel to the counties of operation using either a simple average or a weighted average for each railroad.

Weighted averages were used whenever the railroad was able to provide some measure to indicate which counties had greater operations than others. The most common measure provided was the number of miles of track operated by the railroad in each county. This assumes that railroads use more fuel in counties with more track than in counties with less track. Although fuel consumption is determined by many factors, the use of weighted averages based on track length should produce more accurate distribution of air toxics emissions estimates than using simple averages. Emissions estimates were calculated using NEI emission and speciation factors as well as SPECIATE 3.2 factors.^{21,9} The mercury emission factor used in calculations was 4.2e-7 lbs per gallon of diesel fuel consumed.²²

QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL

To develop a reasonable and comprehensive air toxic emission inventory, procedures have been developed to provide quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) of the data throughout the entire process of emission inventory development. Quality assurance is a planned set of external activities that are conducted by personnel not directly involved in the development of the inventory to evaluate data quality. On the other hand, quality control is a planned set of internal activities conducted by inventory development personnel to ensure data accuracy and completeness.

Quality Control

The QC procedures in the inventory development include technical reviews, accuracy checks, and use of approved standardized procedures for emission calculations. The QC activities have been performed and will be performed in the following three aspects.

Activity Data

For point source, the Minnesota emission inventory data for criteria pollutants were used. Using the MCEI data minimized errors in the activity data because these data are the bases for emission fees. For this reason, facilities pay close attention to the quality of these data.

For area sources, the activity data were compared with other states data. Special attention was paid to point and area source reconciliation to eliminate double counting of emissions. This is because a given category of emissions can be comprised of both point and area sources. For example, some of the halogenated solvent cleaners are point sources and their emissions are included with the point source emissions.

Emission Results

To assess the reasonableness of estimated emission results for point sources, the process-based emissions for each pollutant were examined. The extraordinary emission values were re-calculated. The activity data and emission factors, which led to the extraordinary emissions, were verified. For area sources, the emissions were calculated using the RAPIDS software and spreadsheets. The results from these two approaches were compared and evaluated until a perfect match was reached.

Quality Assurance

The QA plan included the following activities:

- Release of the process-level emission inventories to selected facilities. Requested their voluntary validation of the emission data and estimates. The selected facilities were those having source-specific emission factors such as Metal Mining/Iron Ores Process and Electric Services/Coal Burning facilities. The information and comments in the facility responses were also incorporated into the emission inventory.
- Requested technical review at Great Lakes regional level. Minnesota emission estimates for 2002 were compared with the 2002 estimates from other Great Lakes States and emission estimates in previous years. Extraordinary values, missing pollutants, and extra pollutants were examined. Errors were corrected.
- The HAP emission data were submitted to EPA for the 2002 NEI. Additional corrections and adjustments were made based on feedbacks from EPA during the NEI QA/AC.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following results represent emissions from all point, area, and mobile sources in State of Minnesota. Emissions were estimated for the 211 target compounds in the Great Lakes regional air toxics emission inventory project. However, data were only available to obtain emissions for 155 out of the 211 air toxics. Point sources emit 148 pollutants, while area sources emit 126 pollutants. Pollutants with emissions from onroad and nonroad mobile sources are 38 and 49, respectively. The summary table (Table D-2) shows the name and the emissions of these 155 pollutants totaled by principal source category.

It was estimated that 1233 out of 2293 point sources emitted one or more pollutants listed in the summary table. We incorporated source-specific emission information from 288 large emitters, 269 facilities with Option D permits, and 152 TRI facilities. Point source emissions are from 270 distinct standard industrial classification (SIC) codes and 351 distinct source classification codes (SCC). Emissions from area sources were calculated for the 33 categories and 81 sub-categories. Toluene was estimated to have the highest emissions at 42,725,992 pounds, while Propoxur emissions are the lowest recorded at 0.000008 pounds.

Uncertainties

Although QA/QC plans were established to ensure optimum results, there are uncertainties in the Minnesota portion of the Great Lakes regional air toxics emission inventory. Some uncertainties are common for all air toxics emission inventories. For example, not all pollutants are included in the inventory and some emission factors are missing or are of poor quality, resulting in unrepresentative emission estimates. These uncertainties are not discussed here. The following discussions focus on three uncertainties specifically for Minnesota.

Source Classification Code Assignment

Since Minnesota does not have air toxic emission inventory reporting requirements, the emission data in MCEI were used for point sources. These emission data, including facility identification, device identification, process identification, and process activities, were submitted by the individual facilities. However, the quality of a key component, source classification codes

(SCC), is in question because these codes have never been reviewed by facilities in the MCEI reporting system. SCC codes are very important for estimating air toxics emissions because all emission factors are directly tied to SCC codes. It is interesting to note that the relationship of emissions and SCC codes for criteria pollutants is not as sensitive as for air toxics. An incorrect SCC assignment may still give correct emission values for criteria pollutants but lead to significant over-estimation or under-estimation of air toxics emissions.

Small Point Sources

There are 492 and 823 facilities in the MCEI with registration permit Options B and D, respectively. These facilities do not report process level throughput data and have no SCC assigned to them. Without the information, RAPIDS cannot estimate air toxics emissions for these facilities. Relying on the MCEI to convert point source emissions data to the RAPIDS computing environment caused the air toxics emission inventory to be incomplete. These sources are relative small sources, include auto body shops, small painting shops, wood furniture shops, asphalt plants, grain elevators, seed elevators, feed mills, mechanical garages, and others. Although we collected data from 256 Option D facilities and some other facilities may report to the TRI, most of these small point sources had to be treated as area sources. Since chemical species use varied from one facility to the other, our solution was to collect material usage and composition data from these facilities. There is a plan to collect more source-specific data in the future.

Control Efficiencies

Most control efficiencies used in the MCEI are default values and may not reflect the operating conditions in facilities. Therefore, uncertainties are introduced for criteria pollutant emission estimates. Due to scarce information on control efficiencies for air toxics, control efficiencies for volatile organic compounds (VOC) were used for all air toxics in VOC format. It is recognized that the control efficiencies for individual air toxics can deviate greatly from the control efficiencies for VOC. However, VOC control efficiencies have to be used until better information is obtained for each individual air toxic. Therefore, it is unlikely there will be a reduction in this uncertainty for some time.

Detection Limits

A number of source-specific emission factors as well as generic emission factors were developed by using detection limits when the measurements were lower than detection limits. This approach provides conservative results but tends to over-estimate emissions.

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Volume III - Area Sources Preferred and Alternative Methods; STAPPA-ALAPCO-EPA Emission Inventory Improvement Program (EIIP)*. EPA-454/R-97-004c, 1997.
2. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Documentation For The Draft 2002 Nonpoint Source National Emission Inventory For Criteria And Hazardous Air Pollutants (March 2005 Version)*, E.H. Pechan & Associates, Inc. March 2005.

3. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Draft 2002 National Emission Inventory: Mobile - Nonroad*, Research Triangle Park, NC. February 2005.
ftp://ftp.epa.gov/EmisInventory/draftnei2002/mobile/nonroad/data/state_nof/
4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Hazardous Air Pollutants Data - Final 1999 NEI Version 3*, Research Triangle Park, NC. July 2003.
<ftp://ftp.epa.gov/pub/EmisInventory/finalnei99ver3/haps/datafiles/point/>
5. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency(EPA). *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors, Volume I: Stationary Point and Area Sources*, Research Triangle Park, NC, 1995; AP-42, Fifth Edition.
6. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Factor Information Retrieval System (FIRE), Version 6.24*, Research Triangle Park, 2004, *Version 6.25*, Research Triangle Park, NC, October 2004.
7. Jiang, Hongming; Wu, Chun Yi; and Biewen, Todd. *Metals Emissions from Taconite Ore Processing Facilities in Minnesota*; A&WMA Emission Inventory Symposium; New Orleans, LA, Dec. 1998.
8. Wu, Chun Yi and Biewen, Todd. *Minnesota Air Toxics Emission Inventory for Metal Mining and Utility facilities*; A&WMA 92nd Annual Meeting; St. Louis, MO, June 1999; Paper #99-904.
9. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)/Particulate Matter (PM) Speciation Data System (SPECIATE), Version 3.2*, Research Triangle Park, NC, November 1, 2002.
10. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, Environmental Data Management Unit. *Protocols for Estimating Air Toxic Emissions from Minnesota Area Sources*, St. Paul, MN, 2005, Unpublished Manuscript.
11. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *MOBILE6.2 Vehicle Emission Modeling Software*, Research Triangle Park, NC, October 2002. <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/m6.htm>
12. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Locating and Estimating Air Emissions from Sources of Lead and Lead Compounds*. May 1998.
<http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/le/index.html>
13. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *NONROAD Model*,
<http://www.epa.gov/otaq/nonrdmdl.htm>
14. Bureau of Transportation Statistics. *Air Carrier Statistics (Form 41 Traffic) T-100 Segment*. Accessed through TranStats: <http://www.transtats.bts.gov/homepage.asp>
15. Federal Aviation Administration Office of Environment and Energy. *EDMS Version 4.12*. Released October 2003. <http://www.aee.faa.gov/emissions/edms/EDMSHome.htm>
16. Eastern Research Group, Inc. *Documentation for Aircraft, Commercial Marine Vessel, Locomotive, and Other Nonroad Components of the National Emissions Inventory Volume I – Methodology*, Morrisville, NC, November 11, 2002; pp A1-A6.
ftp://ftp.epa.gov/EmisInventory/finalnei99ver2/criteria/documentation/nonroad/nonroad_voli_nov2002.pdf

17. Federal Aviation Administration. *Air Traffic Activity Data System (ATADS)*, <http://www.apo.data.faa.gov/faaatadsall.HTM>
18. Federal Aviation Administration. *Terminal Area Forecast System (TAF)*, <http://www.apo.data.faa.gov/faatafall.HTM>
19. Eastern Research Group, Inc. *Documentation for Aircraft, Commercial Marine Vessel, Locomotive, and Other Nonroad Components of the National Emissions Inventory Volume I – Methodology*, Morrisville, NC, November 11, 2002; pp A6-A18. ftp://ftp.epa.gov/EmisInventory/finalnei99ver2/criteria/documentation/nonroad/nonroad_voli_nov2002.pdf
20. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. *Waterborne Commerce of the United States Calendar Year 1999 Part 5 National Summaries*, p 5-5. <http://www.iwr.usace.army.mil/ndc/wcsc/pdf/wcusnatl99.pdf>
21. Eastern Research Group, Inc. *Documentation for Aircraft, Commercial Marine Vessel, Locomotive, and Other Nonroad Components of the National Emissions Inventory Volume I – Methodology*, Morrisville, NC, November 11, 2002; pp C1-C8. ftp://ftp.epa.gov/EmisInventory/finalnei99ver2/criteria/documentation/nonroad/nonroad_voli_nov2002.pdf
22. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). *Procedures for Emission Inventory Preparation Volume IV: Mobile Sources*, December 1992; p 207. <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/invntory/r92009.pdf>
23. Minnesota Pollution Control Agency MPCA). *Mercury Emissions in Minnesota Draft: 2002 Update*, September 2002. <http://www.pca.state.mn.us/news/publicnotice/pn092302-attachment.pdf>, accessed in November 2002.
24. Sonoma Technology, Inc. *MN_CMV_Summary2.xls*. Provided by email from Dana Coe Sullivan to Chun Yi Wu. August 30, 2004.

INFORMATION

For more information about Minnesota's air toxics inventory, please contact:

Ms. Chun Yi Wu

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road

St. Paul, MN 55155

(P) 651-282-5855, (F) 651-297-7709

(E) chun.yi.wu@pca.state.mn.us

Area source categories and information sources for associated activity data.

| Category Name | Sub-Category Name | SCC | Emission Estimation Method | Activity Data Information Source |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|---|
| Agricultural Pesticide Use | Corn | 2461850001 | Emissions calculated based on application method | Minnesota Agricultural Statistics Service |
| Agricultural Pesticide Use | Soybeans | 2461850005 | Emissions calculated based on application method | Minnesota Agricultural Statistics Service |
| Agricultural Pesticide Use | Spring Wheat | 2461850006 | Emissions calculated based on application method | Minnesota Agricultural Statistics Service |
| Animal Cremation | Livestock & Pets | 2810060200 | Apply emission factors to estimated weight of cremated animals | Census of Agriculture and survey of pet crematoria. |
| Architectural Surface Coating | Architectural Surface Coating | 2401001000 | Use per capita emission factor for VOC and apply speciation profiles to VOC emissions. | Census data |
| Asphalt Paving | Cutback Asphalt | 2461021000 | Use state-specific activity data and emission factors. | Survey of asphalt suppliers |
| Autobody Refinishing | Autobody Refinishing | 2401005000 | Use per capita emission factor for VOC and apply speciation profiles to VOC emissions. | Census data |
| Commercial & Consumer Product Use | Adhesives & Sealants | 2460600000 | Use national per capita emission factors | Census data |
| Commercial & Consumer Product Use | Automotive Aftermarket Products | 2460400000 | Use national per capita emission factors | Census data |
| Commercial & Consumer Product Use | Coating & Related Products | 2460500000 | Use national per capita emission factors | Census data |
| Commercial & Consumer Product Use | FIFRA-Regulated Products | 2460800000 | Use national per capita emission factors | Census data |
| Commercial & Consumer Product Use | Household Products | 2460200000 | Use national per capita emission factors | Census data |
| Commercial & Consumer Product Use | Miscellaneous | 2460100000 | Use national per capita emission factors | Census data |
| Commercial & Consumer Product Use | Personal Care Products | 2460900000 | Use national per capita emission factors | Census data |
| Commercial Cooking | Conveyorized Charbroiling | 2302002100 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Commercial Cooking | Flat Griddle Frying | 2302003100 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |

| Category Name | Sub-Category Name | SCC | Emission Estimation Method | Activity Data Information Source |
|--|----------------------------|------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Commercial Cooking | Under-fired Charbroiling | 2302002200 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Dry Cleaning | Dry Cleaning | 2420000055 | Use emission factor based on solvent usage and machine type. | NESHAP submittals and survey letters |
| Fluorescent Lamp Breakage | Fluorescent Lamp Breakage | 2861000000 | Apportion state estimate of lamp breakage to counties based on population | Census data |
| Fluorescent Lamp Recycling | Fluorescent Lamp Recycling | 2650000001 | Apportion state estimate of recycling to counties based upon location of recyclers | MPCA |
| Forest Wildfires | Forest Wildfires | 2810001000 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Fuel Combustion - Commercial/Institutional | Coal Boiler | 2103002000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Commercial/Institutional | Distillate Oil Boiler | 2103004000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Commercial/Institutional | Distillate Oil IC Engine | 2103004000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Commercial/Institutional | Kerosene Boiler | 2103011000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Commercial/Institutional | LPG Boiler | 2103007000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Commercial/Institutional | Natural Gas Boiler | 2103006000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Commercial/Institutional | Residual Oil Boiler | 2103005000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Commercial/Institutional | Wood Boiler | 2103008000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Industrial | Coal Boiler | 2102002000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Industrial | Distillate Oil Boiler | 2102004000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Industrial | Distillate Oil IC Engine | 2102004000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |

| Category Name | Sub-Category Name | SCC | Emission Estimation Method | Activity Data Information Source |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Fuel Combustion - Industrial | Kerosene Boiler | 2102011000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Industrial | LPG Boiler | 2102007000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Fuel Combustion - Industrial | Natural Gas Boiler | 2102006000 | Apply emission factors to fuel consumed | U.S. Department of Energy & MCEI |
| Gasoline Service Stations | Stage I - Aviation Gasoline | 2501080050 | Use EPA emission factor for VOC and some air toxics. County activity data are allocated from state fuel consumption based on vehicle registrations. Applied speciation profiles to VOC emissions for air toxics without emission factors. | Minnesota Department of Revenue |
| Gasoline Service Stations | Stage I - Splash Fill | 2501060052 | Use EPA emission factor for VOC and some air toxics. County activity data are allocated from state fuel consumption based on vehicle registrations. Applied speciation profiles to VOC emissions for air toxics without emission factors. | Minnesota Department of Revenue |
| Gasoline Service Stations | Stage I - Submerged Fill with Control | 2501060053 | Use EPA emission factor for VOC and some air toxics. County activity data are allocated from state fuel consumption based on vehicle registrations. Applied speciation profiles to VOC emissions for air toxics without emission factors. | Minnesota Department of Revenue |

| Category Name | Sub-Category Name | SCC | Emission Estimation Method | Activity Data Information Source |
|---------------------------|--|------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Gasoline Service Stations | Stage I - Submerged Fill without Control | 2501060051 | Use EPA emission factor for VOC and some air toxics. County activity data are allocated from state fuel consumption based on vehicle registrations. Applied speciation profiles to VOC emissions for air toxics without emission factors. | Minnesota Department of Revenue |
| Gasoline Service Stations | Stage I - Tank Breathing | 2501060201 | Use EPA emission factor for VOC and some air toxics. County activity data are allocated from state fuel consumption based on vehicle registrations. Applied speciation profiles to VOC emissions for air toxics without emission factors. | Minnesota Department of Revenue |
| Gasoline Service Stations | Stage II - Aviation Gasoline | 2501080100 | Use EPA emission factor for VOC and some air toxics. County activity data are allocated from state fuel consumption based on vehicle registrations. Applied speciation profiles to VOC emissions for air toxics without emission factors. | Minnesota Department of Revenue |
| Gasoline Service Stations | Stage II - Spill Loss | 2501060103 | Use EPA emission factor for VOC and some air toxics. County activity data are allocated from state fuel consumption based on vehicle registrations. Applied speciation profiles to VOC emissions for air toxics without emission factors. | Minnesota Department of Revenue |

| Category Name | Sub-Category Name | SCC | Emission Estimation Method | Activity Data Information Source |
|---------------------------------|---|------------|---|---|
| Gasoline Service Stations | Stage II - Vapor Loss | 2501060101 | Use EPA emission factor for VOC and some air toxics. County activity data are allocated from state fuel consumption based on vehicle registrations. Applied speciation profiles to VOC emissions for air toxics without emission factors. | Minnesota Department of Revenue |
| Gasoline Trucks in Transit | Gasoline Trucks in Transit | 2505030120 | Use EPA emission factor for VOC. County activity data are allocated from state fuel consumption based on population. Apply speciation profiles to VOC emissions for air toxics. | Minnesota Department of Revenue |
| Grain Elevators | Grain Elevators | 2801600000 | Apply emission factors based on agricultural data | U.S. Department of Agriculture Data |
| Graphic Arts | Graphic Arts | 2425000000 | Apply Minnesota-specific speciation factors to VOC estimates based on population | Census Data |
| Hospital Sterilization | Hospital Sterilization | 2850000010 | Apply emission factors based on NESHAP data and hospital bed data | MPCA and Minnesota Department of Health |
| Human Cremation | Human Cremation | 2810060100 | Apply emission factors to estimated weight of cremated individuals | Minnesota Department of Health |
| Incineration | Commercial/Institutional | 2601020000 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Industrial Surface Coating | General Surface Coating | 2401990000 | Apply emission factors to employment data | Census data and MCEI |
| Industrial Surface Coating | High Performance Surface Coating, Water Based | 2401100000 | Apply per capita emission factors | Census data and MCEI |
| Industrial Surface Coating | High Performance Surface Coating, Solvent Based | 2401100999 | Apply per capita emission factors | Census data and MCEI |
| Mineral Processes | Mineral Processes | 2305070000 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Municipal Solid Waste Landfills | Flaring MSW Landfill gas | 2620030000 | Use generic emission factors. | MPCA |

| Category Name | Sub-Category Name | SCC | Emission Estimation Method | Activity Data Information Source |
|--|---|------------|--|---|
| Municipal Solid Waste Landfills | Non-flaring MSW Landfills | | Create a model based on AP-42, Section 2.4. Most concentrations of air toxics are obtained from MPCA landfill gas study. | MPCA |
| Open Burning | Household Waste (use 26-10-000-xxx for Yard Wastes) | 2610030000 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Open Burning | Land Clearing Debris (use 28-10-005-000 for Logging Debris Burning) | 2610000500 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Open Burning | Yard Waste - Brush Species Unspecified | 2610000400 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Open Burning | Yard Waste - Leaf Species Unspecified | 2610000100 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| POTW Facilities | Entire Plant | 2630020000 | Apply emission factor to throughput data | MPCA |
| Prescribed Burning for Forest Management | Prescribed Forest Fires | 2810015000 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Residential Fossil Fuel Combustion | Bituminous/Subbituminous Coal | 2104002000 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | U.S. Department of Energy and Census Data |
| Residential Fossil Fuel Combustion | Distillate Oil | 2104004000 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | U.S. Department of Energy and Census Data |
| Residential Fossil Fuel Combustion | Kerosene | 2104011000 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | U.S. Department of Energy and Census Data |
| Residential Fossil Fuel Combustion | Liquified Petroleum Gas (LPG) | 2104007000 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | U.S. Department of Energy and Census Data |
| Residential Fossil Fuel Combustion | Natural Gas | 2104006000 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | U.S. Department of Energy and Census Data |
| Residential Wood Burning | Catalytic Woodstoves: General | 2104008030 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | Survey by DNR, MPCA, & Forest Service in U.S. Department of Agriculture |
| Residential Wood Burning | Fireplaces: General | 2104008001 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | Survey by DNR, MPCA, & Forest Service in U.S. Department of Agriculture |
| Residential Wood Burning | Fireplaces: Insert; EPA certified; catalytic | 2104008004 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | Survey by DNR, MPCA, & Forest Service in U.S. Department of |

| Category Name | Sub-Category Name | SCC | Emission Estimation Method | Activity Data Information Source |
|--------------------------|--|------------|--|---|
| | | | | Agriculture |
| Residential Wood Burning | Fireplaces: Insert; EPA certified; non-catalytic | 2104008003 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | Survey by DNR, MPCA, & Forest Service in U.S. Department of Agriculture |
| Residential Wood Burning | Fireplaces: Insert; non-EPA certified | 2104008002 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | Survey by DNR, MPCA, & Forest Service in U.S. Department of Agriculture |
| Residential Wood Burning | Non-catalytic Woodstoves: EPA certified | 2104008050 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | Survey by DNR, MPCA, & Forest Service in U.S. Department of Agriculture |
| Residential Wood Burning | Woodstoves: General | 2104008010 | Apply emission factor to estimated fuel consumption | Survey by DNR, MPCA, & Forest Service in U.S. Department of Agriculture |
| Solvent Cleaning | Cold, Vapor, & In-Line Cleaning | 2415000000 | Apply emission factors to employment data | Census data and MCEI |
| Solvent Cleaning | Solvent Cleanup | 2415000000 | Apply emission factors to employment data | Census data and MCEI |
| Solvent Cleaning | Trichloroethylene Degreasing | 2415100385 | Apply emission factors to NESHAP data | NESHAP |
| Structure Fires | Residential Structure Fires | 2810030000 | Use emission factors recommended by the EIIP document based on tons of material burned. Assume the average total material burned in each fire is 1.15 ton. | Minnesota Department of Public Safety |
| Swimming Pools | Swimming Pools | 2862000000 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Tank & Drum Cleaning | Tank & Drum Cleaning | 2461160000 | Accept U.S. EPA estimate | U.S. EPA |
| Traffic Markings | White Latex Paint | 2401008000 | Mass Balance based on usage data | Minnesota Department of Transportation |
| Traffic Markings | Yellow Latex Paint | 2401008000 | Mass Balance based on usage data | Minnesota Department of Transportation |

Minnesota statewide summary of emissions (lb/year)

| Pollutant Name (CAS) | Point Source Emissions | Area Source Emissions | On-road Emissions | Non-road Emissions | Total Emissions |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Acenaphthene (83-32-9) | 70,780 | 5268 | 846.9 | 1605 | 78,500 |
| Acenaphthylene (208-96-8) | 388.7 | 104,600 | 4446 | 3979 | 113,400 |
| Acetaldehyde (75-07-0) | 619,000 | 1,114,000 | 1,577,000 | 1,205,000 | 4,515,000 |
| Acetamide (60-35-5) | | 0.61 | | | 0.61 |
| Acetonitrile (75-05-8) | 1368 | 1.09 | | | 1369 |
| Acetophenone (98-86-2) | 440.8 | 1484 | | | 1925 |
| Acrolein (107-02-8) | 193,500 | 481,000 | 111,500 | 114,200 | 900,200 |
| Acrylamide (79-06-1) | 132.1 | | | | 132.1 |
| Acrylic acid (79-10-7) | 15,500 | 21.18 | | | 15,520 |
| Acrylonitrile (107-13-1) | 2063 | 4675 | | | 6738 |
| Aniline (62-53-3) | 0.01 | | | | 0.01 |
| Anthracene (120-12-7) | 877.9 | 13,040 | 1023 | 895.7 | 15,840 |
| Antimony (7440-36-0) | 3497 | 26.75 | | 24.62 | 3548 |
| Arsenic (7440-38-2) | 13,590 | 321.8 | 823.6 | 6.61 | 14,740 |
| Atrazine (1912-24-9) | | 257,900 | | | 257,900 |
| Benz(a)anthracene (56-55-3) | 78.24 | 17,050 | 251.5 | 253.4 | 17,630 |
| Benzo(g,h,i)perylene (191-24-2) | 75.61 | 8619 | 304.4 | 701.7 | 9701 |
| Benzene (71-43-2) | 215,300 | 3,896,000 | 6,675,000 | 2,603,000 | 13,390,000 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene (50-32-8) | 100.3 | 4555 | | 199.9 | 4855 |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene (205-99-2) | 14.49 | 4067 | 168.1 | 152.4 | 4402 |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene (207-08-9) | 2.2 | 4164 | 168.1 | 139 | 4473 |
| Benzyl chloride (100-44-7) | 4013 | 281 | | | 4294 |
| Beryllium (7440-41-7) | 339.7 | 77.93 | | 47.94 | 465.6 |
| Biphenyl (92-52-4) | 9623 | 957.8 | | | 10,580 |
| Bromoform (75-25-2) | 846 | 15.66 | | | 861.7 |
| Methyl bromide (74-83-9) | 21,870 | 1,014,000 | | | 1,036,000 |
| 1,3-Butadiene (106-99-0) | 3179 | 431,700 | 655,800 | 675,100 | 1,766,000 |
| Cadmium (7440-43-9) | 2933 | 393.4 | | 49.41 | 3376 |
| Carbon disulfide (75-15-0) | 1056 | 666.8 | | | 1723 |
| Carbon tetrachloride (56-23-5) | 1830 | 584.6 | | | 2415 |
| Carbonyl sulfide (463-58-1) | 1333 | 977.8 | | | 2311 |
| Catechol (120-80-9) | 122.1 | | | | 122.1 |
| Chlorine (7782-50-5) | 63,930 | 230,400 | 1103 | | 295,400 |
| Chlorobenzene (108-90-7) | 1290 | 327,400 | | | 328,700 |

| Pollutant Name (CAS) | Point Source Emissions | Area Source Emissions | On-road Emissions | Non-road Emissions | Total Emissions |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Chloroethane (75-00-3) | 7842 | 47,080 | | | 54,920 |
| Chloroform (67-66-3) | 4665 | 384,000 | | | 388,700 |
| 2-Chloro-1,3-butadiene (126-99-8) | 2.29 | | | | 2.29 |
| Chromium (7440-47-3) | 14,680 | 534.4 | 1085 | 36.72 | 16,340 |
| Chromium VI (18540-29-9) | 1829 | 34.35 | 218.2 | 18.92 | 2100 |
| Chrysene (218-01-9) | 63.05 | 13,560 | 131.8 | 192.7 | 13,950 |
| 2-Chloroacetophenone (532-27-4) | 151.9 | 2.81 | | | 154.7 |
| Cobalt (7440-48-4) | 6551 | 77.2 | | 28.23 | 6656 |
| Copper (7440-50-8) | 25,970 | 377.9 | 733.8 | 5.81 | 27,090 |
| Cresol (mixed isomers) (1319-77-3) | 2574 | | | | 2574 |
| M-Cresol (108-39-4) | 50.28 | | | | 50.28 |
| O-Cresol (95-48-7) | 88.86 | 123.6 | | | 212.5 |
| P-Cresol (106-44-5) | 172.5 | 250 | | | 422.5 |
| Cumene (98-82-8) | 27,690 | 34,030 | | | 61,720 |
| Cyanide (57-12-5) | 56,020 | 1004 | | | 57,020 |
| 2,4-D (2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) (94-75-7) | | 55,440 | | | 55,440 |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene (53-70-3) | 28.64 | 262.5 | 0.1 | 4.97 | 296.2 |
| Dibenzofuran (132-64-9) | 392 | 1557 | | | 1949 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (106-93-4) | 1072 | 20.16 | | | 1092 |
| Di-N-butyl phthalate (84-74-2) | 1336 | 354.3 | | | 1690 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane (107-06-2) | 1749 | 2370 | | | 4119 |
| Dichlorvos (DDVP) (62-73-7) | 2 | | | | 2 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene (106-46-7) | 1865 | 375,000 | | | 376,900 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane (75-34-3) | 341.1 | 1360 | | | 1701 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene (542-75-6) | 97.95 | 726,700 | | | 726,800 |
| Diethyl sulfate (64-67-5) | 0.01 | | | | 0.01 |
| Diethanolamine (111-42-2) | 472.2 | 113.6 | | | 585.8 |
| Diethylhexyl phthalate (117-81-7) | 7085 | 59.54 | | | 7145 |
| Dimethyl phthalate (131-11-3) | 5290 | 26.63 | | | 5317 |
| Dimethyl sulfate (77-78-1) | 1041 | 19.27 | | | 1060 |
| N,N-Dimethylformamide (68-12-2) | 20,390 | 40,660 | | | 61,050 |
| Dimethylaniline (121-69-7) | 75.6 | | | | 75.6 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol (51-28-5) | 135.5 | 0.11 | | | 135.6 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene (121-14-2) | 53.6 | 0.11 | | | 53.71 |
| Di-N-octyl phthalate (117-84-0) | 32.56 | | | | 32.56 |
| 1,4-Dioxane (123-91-1) | 2520 | 135.6 | | | 2656 |

| Pollutant Name (CAS) | Point Source Emissions | Area Source Emissions | On-road Emissions | Non-road Emissions | Total Emissions |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Epichlorohydrin (106-89-8) | 30.43 | | | | 30.43 |
| Ethyl acrylate (140-88-5) | 4265 | 5.43 | | | 4270 |
| Ethyl benzene (100-41-4) | 217,400 | 491,400 | 2,149,000 | 1,279,000 | 4,137,000 |
| Ethylene glycol (107-21-1) | 63,230 | 187,300 | | | 250,500 |
| Ethylene oxide (75-21-8) | 3790 | 31,420 | | | 35,210 |
| Fine mineral fibers | 3619 | | | | 3619 |
| Fluoranthene (206-44-0) | 326.5 | 18,870 | 1048 | 2066 | 22,310 |
| Fluorene (86-73-7) | 843.8 | 13,780 | 1760 | 3312 | 19,700 |
| Formaldehyde (50-00-0) | 986,200 | 2,841,000 | 2,313,000 | 2,551,000 | 8,691,000 |
| Glycol ethers | 860,900 | 2,052,000 | | | 2,913,000 |
| Hydrochloric acid (7647-01-0) | 5,601,000 | 739,300 | | | 6,340,000 |
| Hexamethylene-1,6-diisocyanate (822-06-0) | 6308 | | | | 6308 |
| Hexane (110-54-3) | 1,756,000 | 3,374,000 | 1,561,000 | 1,342,000 | 8,033,000 |
| Hexachlorobenzene (118-74-1) | | 9.88 | | | 9.88 |
| Hydrogen fluoride (7664-39-3) | 920,600 | 60,370 | | | 981,000 |
| Hydrogen cyanide (74-90-8) | 5660 | 401,900 | | | 407,600 |
| Hydrogen sulfide (7783-06-4) | 262.1 | | | | 262.1 |
| Hydroquinone (123-31-9) | 6799 | 9436 | | | 16,240 |
| Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene (193-39-5) | 1466 | 4971 | 87.46 | 215.2 | 6740 |
| Isophorone (78-59-1) | 18,480 | 17,450 | | | 35,930 |
| Lead (7439-92-1) | 62,760 | 3094 | 75.54 | 22,950 | 88,880 |
| Alkylated lead | 0.68 | 1.42 | | | 2.1 |
| Lindane (gamma HCH) (58-89-9) | 3 | | | | 3 |
| Maleic anhydride (108-31-6) | 749.6 | | | | 749.6 |
| Manganese (7439-96-5) | 102,200 | 1539 | 1276 | 49.99 | 105,100 |
| Mercury (7439-97-6) | 3545 | 367.4 | 906.2 | 0.57 | 4819 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (78-93-3) | 749,700 | 3,364,000 | | | 4,114,000 |
| Methyl hydrazine (60-34-4) | 3688 | 68.24 | | | 3756 |
| Methyl iodide (74-88-4) | 5.9 | | | | 5.9 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone (108-10-1) | 221,700 | 1,615,000 | | | 1,837,000 |
| Methyl isocyanate (624-83-9) | 27 | | | | 27 |
| Methyl methacrylate (80-62-6) | 70,200 | 8.57 | | | 70,210 |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether (1634-04-4) | 785.4 | 122.3 | | | 907.7 |
| Methanol (67-56-1) | 1,455,000 | 3,624,000 | | | 5,079,000 |
| 4,4'-Methylenedianiline (101-77-9) | 0.01 | | | | 0.01 |
| 4,4'-Methylenediphenyl diisocyanate (101-68-8) | 39,610 | | | | 39,610 |

| Pollutant Name (CAS) | Point Source Emissions | Area Source Emissions | On-road Emissions | Non-road Emissions | Total Emissions |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Methyl chloride (74-87-3) | 15,680 | 175,700 | | | 191,400 |
| Methylene chloride (dichloromethane) (75-09-2) | 179,800 | 613,300 | | | 793,100 |
| Naphthalene (91-20-3) | 49,020 | 543,300 | 122,500 | 59,150 | 774,000 |
| Nickel (7440-02-0) | 31,140 | 914.4 | 839 | 411.5 | 33,300 |
| Nitrobenzene (98-95-3) | 485.7 | | | | 485.7 |
| 4-Nitrophenol (100-02-7) | 591.2 | 401.7 | | | 992.9 |
| 2-Nitropropane (79-46-9) | 3.29 | 9.77 | | | 13.06 |
| PAH, 16 | | 649.9 | | 4.75 | 654.7 |
| PAH, 7 | | | | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (1336-36-3) | 13.03 | 1284 | | | 1297 |
| Polychlorinated dibenzodioxins, total | 33.12 | 3.61 | | 0.05 | 36.78 |
| Polychlorinated dibenzofurans, total | 0.1 | 0.51 | | 0.01 | 0.62 |
| Pentachlorophenol (87-86-5) | 214.5 | 23.83 | | | 238.3 |
| Tetrachloroethylene (Perc) (127-18-4) | 150,200 | 316,200 | | | 466,400 |
| Phenanthrene (85-01-8) | 1229 | 48,910 | 2885 | 6231 | 59,260 |
| Phenol (108-95-2) | 229,200 | 91,780 | | 84.93 | 321,100 |
| P-Phenylenediamine (106-50-3) | 126.6 | | | | 126.6 |
| Phosphine (7803-51-2) | 3514 | 971.1 | | | 4485 |
| Phosphorus (7723-14-0) | 2624 | 35.53 | | 44.36 | 2704 |
| Phthalic anhydride (85-44-9) | 1480 | | | | 1480 |
| Propionaldehyde (123-38-6) | 18,090 | 6399 | 125,600 | 248,100 | 398,200 |
| Propoxur (114-26-1) | 0.00001 | | | | 0.00001 |
| Propylene dichloride (78-87-5) | 744.2 | 303.3 | | | 1048 |
| Propylene oxide (75-56-9) | 1241 | | | | 1241 |
| Pyrene (129-00-0) | 637.1 | 23,820 | 1459 | 2352 | 28,270 |
| Quinone (106-51-4) | 2223 | | | | 2223 |
| Selenium (7782-49-2) | 7132 | 865 | 18.03 | 2.77 | 8018 |
| Styrene (100-42-5) | 1,239,000 | 373,400 | 446,800 | 175,900 | 2,235,000 |
| 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (1746-01-6) | 0.0021 | 0.0048 | | 0.0003 | 0.0072 |
| 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzofuran (51207-31-9) | 0.0191 | 0.0451 | | 0.0008 | 0.065 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (71-55-6) | 13,060 | 1,795,000 | | 1.11 | 1,808,000 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane (79-34-5) | 177.4 | 1498 | | | 1675 |
| Toluene (108-88-3) | 1,570,000 | 9,975,000 | 14,380,000 | 16,800,000 | 42,730,000 |
| Toluene-2,4-diisocyanate (584-84-9) | 2561 | | | | 2561 |
| O-Toluidine (95-53-4) | 0.21 | 0.54 | | | 0.75 |
| Trichloroethylene (79-01-6) | 401,700 | 17,610 | | | 419,300 |

| Pollutant Name (CAS) | Point Source Emissions | Area Source Emissions | On-road Emissions | Non-road Emissions | Total Emissions |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene (120-82-1) | 11,740 | 49.4 | | | 11,790 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane (79-00-5) | 531.5 | | | | 531.5 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol (88-06-2) | 0.43 | 0.01 | | | 0.44 |
| Triethylamine (121-44-8) | 14,600 | 4731 | | | 19,330 |
| Trifluralin (1582-09-8) | | 39,800 | | | 39,800 |
| 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane (540-84-1) | 11,890 | 450,400 | 5,996,000 | 7,558,000 | 14,020,000 |
| Vinylidene chloride (75-35-4) | 516.1 | 2532 | | | 3048 |
| Vinyl acetate (108-05-4) | 33,030 | 19,130 | | | 52,160 |
| Vinyl chloride (75-01-4) | 4450 | 11,400 | | | 15,850 |
| M-Xylene (108-38-3) | 7436 | 4358 | | | 11,790 |
| O-Xylene (95-47-6) | 5488 | 187,400 | | | 192,900 |
| P-Xylene (106-42-3) | 1298 | | | | 1298 |
| Xylene (mixed isomers) (1330-20-7) | 1,554,000 | 7,032,000 | 8,177,000 | 8,355,000 | 25,120,000 |