

Review Guidance
Model Rapid Response Plan for Great Lakes Invasions: Iteration II
(April 2004)

Prepared by Great Lakes Commission Staff:
Kathe Glassner Shwayder (shwayder@glc.org) and Kevin Walters (kwalters@glc.org)

Please consider the following issues in your review and comment on the model rapid response plan:

General Questions on Iteration II

- Does the structure of the model rapid response plan provide the overall guidance to effectively address rapid response for Great Lakes aquatic invasions?
- Does the model plan capture of the appropriate objectives and strategic tasks for each component?
- Is the level of detail/ resolution in the strategic tasks appropriate?
- Does the model rapid response plan demonstrate the interrelationships between plan components necessary for effective rapid response?
- Are there other significant resources, examples or case studies that need to be considered in revising the model plan?

Specific Questions on Iteration II

- Does the model rapid response plan address the fundamental questions posed at the beginning of the project (section on problem definition)?
- Are the objective statements posed as objectives? If not, how can they be revised?
- Does the paradigm for the Outreach component of the model work with use of the phases: pre-invasion, progression of AIS invasion and post invasion?
- How can the process of risk assessment be more effectively integrated into the model?
- Is there a need for a more quantitative approach on which to base the decision support system? Is the graphic used to illustrate the *Decision Tree for Control of Marine Pests* (Adapted from Bax et al. 2001) effective?
- Would it be helpful to provide a higher level of specificity for management options/strategic tasks? (perhaps by way of case studies)
- Graphics are planned to illustrate concepts presented in sections on Organizational Structure and Adaptive Management – Is there a need for graphics elsewhere in the plan?