# The Sparkling Lake rusty crayfish removal: what have we learned?

Gretchen Hansen Wisconsin DNR Science Services gretchen.hansen@wisconsin.gov



## Sparkling Lake



154 Acres Max depth 60 ft

## Rusty crayfish removal experiment

#### Removal trapping: 2001-2008 Fishing regulation changes: 2001-2014

C. Hein

# Goals of removal experiment

- Reduce rusty crayfish population
  - Is it possible?
- Observe ecosystem response
  - Are negative effects of rusty crayfish reversible?
- Shift balance between fish and rusty crayfish
  - Can fish control crayfish once we have reduced their population to low levels?

# Trapping effort was high

Intensively trapped June-August, 2001-2008
100-300 traps per day
1,300-15,000 "trap days" per year
91,930 crayfish removed

### Rusty crayfish declined by 99% Native (virilis) crayfish increased 100x



### Rusty crayfish declined by 99% Native (virilis) crayfish increased 100x



## Goals of removal experiment

- Reduce rusty crayfish population
  - Is it possible? YES (but it requires a lot of effort)
- Observe ecosystem response
  - Are negative effects of rusty crayfish reversible?
- Shift balance between fish and rusty crayfish
  - Can fish control crayfish once we have reduced their population to low levels?

### Sparkling Lake ecosystem response



# Macrophyte percent cover increased, especially in deeper water



# "High crayfish" "Low crayfish"

#### Lepomis spp. (sunfish) increased



#### Lepomis spp. increased, others did not change



#### Some invertebrates increased, others decreased



#### Some invertebrates increased, others decreased



### Bass ate more invertebrates



# Goals of removal experiment

- Reduce rusty crayfish population

   Is it possible?
- Observe ecosystem response
  - Are negative effects of rusty crayfish reversible?
     YES, WITH SOME UNEXPECTED RESULTS.
- Shift balance between fish and rusty crayfish
   Can fish control crayfish once we have reduced their population to low levels?

# Lake level declined in Sparkling Lake during removal



#### Drought conditions reduce cobble habitat



# Rusty crayfish depend on cobble habitat for refuge from predators



#### "Alternative stable states" are possible



Adapted from Roth et al. 2007

# Water level determines outcome of *Lepomis*/ rusty crayfish interaction Relative rusty crayfish abundance -1.5 -10-0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 Water Level(Standardized)

# Water level determines outcome of Lepomis/ rusty crayfish interaction Relative rusty crayfish abundance -1.5 -10-0.5 0.00.5 1.0 Water Level(Standardized)

# Goals of removal experiment

- Reduce rusty crayfish population

   Is it possible?
- Observe ecosystem response

   Are negative effects of rusty crayfish reversible?
- Shift balance between fish and rusty crayfish
  - Can fish control crayfish once we have reduced their population to low levels? MAYBE. Continued monitoring needed. Effects of fish may be influenced by water level/habitat availability.

# Conclusions and ongoing research

- It is possible to reduce rusty crayfish populations, but high effort is required.
- Many ecosystem components recover following crayfish removal (native crayfish, macrophytes, snails, sunfish)
- We observed some unexpected (and potentially temporary) responses (mayflies and other invertebrates, fish growth).
- Drought may provide opportunities for reducing rusty crayfish in some lakes.
- Future research:
  - Continued monitoring of crayfish and fish populations
  - Quantify relationship between water level and rusty crayfish habitat
  - Identify invaded lakes likely to be affected by water level fluctuations

# Questions? gretchen.hansen@wisconsin.gov

Hansen et al. 2013. Are rapid transitions between invasive and native species caused by alternative stable states, and does it matter? Ecology 94:2207–2219. http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/13-0093.1

Hansen et al. 2013. Food web consequences of long-term invasive crayfish control. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 70: 1109-1122, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2012-0460</u>



