Great Lakes Commission

BG Margaret W. Burcham
Commander
Great Lakes and Ohio River Division
US Army Corps of Engineers

5 March 2013
# USACE Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Strategy

## Operation of Electric Barriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY10</th>
<th>FY11</th>
<th>FY12</th>
<th>FY13</th>
<th>FY14</th>
<th>FY15</th>
<th>FY16</th>
<th>FY17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Barrier I Design</td>
<td>Barrier I Site Prep</td>
<td>Barrier I Building &amp; Electronics</td>
<td>Barrier I Testing &amp; Commissioning</td>
<td>Project O&amp;M funded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **1 Volt/in, pulses 4 ms at 5 hz**

- **Demonstration Barrier (2002)**
- **Barrier II A (2009)**
- **Barrier IIB (2011)**
- **Perm Barrier I concept**

## Asian Carp Monitoring

- **eDNA Monitoring and Calibration**
- **eDNA Monitoring by USFWS**
- **eDNA Transition to USFWS**

## Efficacy Study: Implement Solutions as Funding and Authority Permit

- **Des Plaines River Bypass (Int. I)**
- **Modified Structural Operations (Int. III)**
- **Optimum Parameters Research (Int. II)**
- **Comprehensive Report**

## Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin Study (GLMRIS)

- **Continued efforts pending funding and authority**
### CSSC Barriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier</th>
<th>Date of Activation</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Voltage (volts/inch)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Pulse Duration (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demo</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$2M</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$7M</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$21M</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FY 2013 Work:**
- Continue design & construction of Permanent Barrier I
- Complete the Comprehensive Efficacy Study
- Complete demo projects for fish monitoring system
- Continue field studies of barrier effectiveness
- Continue operation & maintenance of electric barriers
- Continue maintenance of Des Plaines River barrier
- Continue Asian carp monitoring in the CAWS with ACRCC partners

**FY 2014 Planned Work:**
- Continue construction of Permanent Barrier I
- Complete demo projects for fish monitoring system
- Continue operation & maintenance of electric barriers
- Continue maintenance of Des Plaines River barrier
- Continue Asian carp monitoring in the CAWS with ACRCC partners
USACE Telemetry: Assess Efficacy of Barriers

- Telemetry identified as **Barrier Effectiveness Evaluation tool** in ACRCC Monitoring and Rapid Response Plan
- Individually coded transmitters implanted into fish; locations detected by underwater receivers
- Primary objective: assess efficacy of barriers
- Secondary objective: examine movements of Asian carp at population leading edge and through lock and dams

- 238 active tags in upper IWW has yielded over 6M detections
  - 193 large fish: Asian carp below barrier; surrogate species above and below barrier
  - 45 small fish: surrogate species released in immediate vicinity of barrier
- **No tagged fish crossing the barrier in the upstream direction**
- Additional tags to be implanted this year starting in April/May

- Total = 60 Stationary Receivers
- Continued vigilance at Barrier
- Increased effort on downstream Asian carp (juvenile and adult populations)
- Interagency-academia effort (USACE-USFWS-SIUC)
eDNA Calibration Study (ECALS)

ECALS is an interagency study (USACE-USGS-USFWS) that will improve our understanding and interpretation of eDNA positive detections

- What does a positive eDNA detection in the CAWS mean?
  - Where did it come from?
  - How long has it been there?
  - Did more than one Asian carp contribute to the sample?

- Improve sampling and analytical efficiency
  - Reduce the TIME and COST

- Provide context and improve confidence in conclusions based on eDNA monitoring as an effective tool for resource managers in decision making

ECALS is funded through the ACRCC Framework, with three major tasks:

1. **Vectors:**
   - Alternative sources of eDNA? (birds, barges, sewers, sediments, fishing gear)

2. **Markers:**
   - Develop new markers: estimate minimum numbers of individual Asian carp

3. **Calibration:**
   - Increase efficiency (sampling, lab analysis)
   - Determine eDNA degradation and influence of environmental factors (temperature, pH)
   - Hydrodynamic model: how eDNA moves through system
   - Assign probabilities to eDNA vectors based on all ECALS results
Interim Report I: Measures to Eliminate Potential Barrier Bypasses

✓ Construct 13.5 miles of structures along Des Plaines River & block I&M Canal at natural flow divide
✓ Construction contract awarded 21 April 2010; complete as of 26 October 2010

Interim Report IIA: Determine Optimal Operating Parameters

✓ What are the optimal operating parameters based on lab research and field testing
✓ Settings changed to 2.3v/in, 30 Hz, 2.5 ms in October 2011

Interim Report III: Modified Structural Operations

✓ Screens installed on sluice gates at O’Brien Lock & Dam

Interim IIIA Report: Acoustic Bubble Barriers

✓ Recommends a demonstration acoustic-bubble-strobe (ABS) barrier near Brandon Road L&D
  ▪ Approved by ASA(CW) in July 2010, but currently no funding or authority to implement

“Comprehensive” Report: Evaluate Other Potential Emergency Measures to Deter Migration

- Evaluate other means of bypass & risk reduction measures
- Complete NEPA cumulative effects assessment
GLMRIS - Study Summary

- Authority – WRDA 2007
  (d) FEASIBILITY STUDY.-The Secretary, in consultation with appropriate Federal, State, local, and nongovernmental entities, shall conduct, at Federal expense, a feasibility study of the range of options and technologies available to prevent the spread of aquatic nuisance species between the Great Lakes and Mississippi River Basins through the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal and other aquatic pathways.

- Section 1538 - Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21)
  Intervening legislation enacted in July 2012
  Modifies scope and duration of products in GLMRIS
  • Scope
    Expedite completion of the report authorized by WRDA 2007
    Focus efforts on:
    Prevention of transfer of ANS using methods such as hydrologic separation;
    Region encompassing the watersheds/tributaries of the CAWS (Focus Area I)
    Allows the Secretary of the Army to move to preconstruction engineering & design (PED) if a project is determined to be justified
The GLMRIS Report will include:

- Risk Assessment of ANS of Concern to support formulation
- Screening Criteria and Screened ANS Controls based on ANS of Concern-CAWS
- Baseline and Future without Project (FWOP) Conditions detailed assessment
- General description of range of alternatives, including the No-Action Alternative
- Location map(s) for each alternative
- Conceptual design for each alternative and mitigation requirements
- Cost estimate and Cost-Schedule Risks Analyses for each alternative
- Environmental compliance information to help facilitate agency decision-making
- General regulatory requirements and a listing of potential regulatory issues
- Information to support evaluation of alternatives

Should the Secretary determine that a project is justified after GLMRIS Report submittal, detailed design analyses, including environmental compliance, internal reviews, and public state/agency reviews will be completed before proceeding to PED.
GLMRIS Report - Current Status

Alternative Formulation
1. Hydrologic Separation Alternatives
   - Lakefront Separation – H&H, WQ, and NAV modeling underway
   - Mid-System Separation – H&H, WQ and NAV modeling underway
2. Technology Alternatives
   - Utilizes refined list of ANS Controls from screening process
   - Combines control technologies to develop preliminary alternatives
   - Develop conceptual designs or treatment trains & delivery platforms
   - Evaluate impacts and need for mitigation
3. Hybrids
   - Combine/mix physical barriers and technologies to optimize effects
4. No-Action Alternative

Stakeholder Engagement
• Screening criteria comment period on GLMRIS website
• Discussion of hydro-sep with Great Lakes Commission, et al.
• Regular quarterly ESC meetings
• Updates on Social Media & Web
GLMRIS
Stay in Touch!

On the Web...
glmris.anl.gov

Facebook
facebook.com/glmris

Twitter
Follow @GLMRIS

e-mail
glmris@usace.army.mil