# Great Lakes Panel on Aquatic Nuisance Species Great Lakes BIOTIC Symposium: Organisms in Trade

June 15-17, 2021

# Join from your computer, tablet or smartphone.

Click here to join the meeting

#### You can also dial in using your phone.

+1 313-261-5329 United States, Detroit (844) 608-9777 United States (Toll-free) Conference ID: 420 911 061#

### **Agenda**

#### June 15

All times Eastern

## Bringing law enforcement and AIS managers together

2:00 p.m.

Session welcome and introductions
 *Francine MacDonald, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF) Greg Hitzroth, Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant*

2:10 p.m.

2. Purpose and goals of symposium

Doug Jensen, Minnesota Sea Grant

Jill Wingfield, Great Lakes Fishery Commission

Tim Campbell, Wisconsin Sea Grant

Eric Fischer, Indiana Department of Natural Resources (DNR), Great Lakes Panel on Aquatic Nuisance Species

2:30 p.m.

3. Law enforcement and AIS manager survey results *Tim Campbell, Wisconsin Sea Grant* 

2:50 p.m.

- 4. Case studies in law enforcement coordination with AIS managers
  - Transport of live invasive carp and the evisceration requirement Ontario MNRF (invited)
  - Shipment of red swamp crayfish to Ontario Mike Feagan, Michigan DNR
  - Pet crayfish distributor and the importance of documentation Bob Stroess, Wisconsin DNR

3:10 p.m.

5. Small group discussion on case study takeaways

Participants will discuss the following questions:

- What's something you heard that you will try to do in your day to day job?
- Do you have a similar example you could share?

**3:25 p.m.** Break

3:35 p.m.

- 6. Case studies in law enforcement coordination with AIS managers
  - AIS manager and law enforcement coordination in Illinois Kevin Irons, Illinois DNR
  - AIS manager and law enforcement coordination in Michigan

Sarah LeSage, Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy

- Wisconsin organisms in trade pathway group
  - Amy Kretlow, Wisconsin DNR
- Clarifying pet industry regulations, enforcement responsibilities, and enforcement authorities Terry Short, Michigan DNR Matt Leibengood, Ohio DNR

4:00 p.m.

7. Small group discussion on case study takeaways

Participants will discuss the following questions:

- What's something you heard that you will try to do in your day to day job?
- Do you have a similar example you could share?
- 4:15 p.m.
- 8. Closing session

ΑII

- Report out on common themes from small group discussions
- 9. Adjourn and look ahead to the next two days 4:30 p.m.

#### June 16

All times Eastern

# Tools to improve knowledge and detection of species in the Organisms in Trade pathways

2:00 p.m.

1. Session start-up and introduction to session topic Francine MacDonald, Ontario MNRF

Greg Hitzroth, Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant

2:15 p.m.

2. Development of tools and training: Identification handbook for law enforcement use in bait shops

John Navarro, Ohio DNR

2:25 p.m.

- 3. First Use of a Compliance Order under Ontario's Invasive Species Act, 2015
- Brenda Koeniq, Ontario MNRF

2:35 p.m.

4. Detection technology and use of eDNA tools to make pathway detections: LAMP assays Jon Amberg, U.S. Geological Survey

2:45 p.m.

5. Creative policy solutions to gaps in authority: Michigan Registration to Sell Aquatic Organisms program

Susannah lott, Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development

2:55 p.m.

6. Dedicated AIS law enforcement units: logistics and processes for organizing and

maintaining effort Brandon Fehrenbacher, Illinois DNR

Nick Torsky, Michigan DNR

3:05 p.m. Break

3:20 p.m. 7. Working sessions

ΑII

Participants will be separated into virtual breakout rooms to discuss the following questions:

- How is your work influenced by and/or make an impact on pathway detection and the topics discussed today?
- How does your jurisdiction confirm identifications (e.g., genetics, taxonomists, etc.)? What could be improved about this process?
- Is eDNA an appropriate tool to pursue for pathway detection? What other work is needed to make this a feasible tool for law enforcement (both research and applicability, e.g., handheld)? What policy/regulations need to be in place to support use of this technology? Is eDNA strong enough to use as evidence for enforcement action?
- What authorities are needed to facilitate use of detection tools (e.g., authorities to inspect in order to make a detection)? What actions can an agency take in the case of a detection?
- What policy or outreach tools/solutions could be used to make enforcement easier in the absence of clear authorities or knowledge?

4:20 p.m.

8. Closing session

ΑII

• Report out from working sessions

**4:30 p.m.** 9. Adjourn

## June 17 All times Eastern

#### Communication and response tools to improve pathway management and enforcement

2:00 p.m.

1. Session start-up

Francine MacDonald, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry Greg Hitzroth, Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant

2:10 p.m.

2. Tools to improve coordination in response efforts and related communications Jill Wingfield, Great Lakes Fishery Commission

Doug Jensen, Minnesota Sea Grant

Tim Campbell, Wisconsin Sea Grant

Rochelle Sturtevant, NOAA-GLANSIS

Ceci Weibert, Great Lakes Commission

- Joint Forces Committee
- AIS communication forums
- USGS-NAS and NOAA-GLANSIS databases
- Interstate Early Detection and Rapid Response communication plan
- Great Lakes St. Lawrence Governors and Premiers' Mutual Aid Agreement

# 3. Case studies: Response to zebra mussel infestation of moss balls in pet/aquarium retail

- What communication tools were used
- What did response look like/what actions were taken
- What went well in the response, communication, and coordination efforts
- What gaps in authority/response did this event and subsequent response highlight (roadblocks/what could be improved)
- **2:25 p.m.** U.S. federal response and coordination *Craig Martin, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service*

**2:35 p.m.** Canadian federal response and coordination

Susan Roe, Fisheries and Oceans Canada

**2:45 p.m.** Western state response and coordination

Stephen Phillips, Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

**3:00 p.m.** Great Lakes state response and coordination

Eric Fischer, Indiana DNR Amy Kretlow, Wisconsin DNR

**3:15 p.m.** Break

**3:30 p.m.** 4. Working session

All

Participants will discuss the following questions:

- What state/province-specific communication channels are in use, and by whom?
- Would Great Lakes conservation law enforcement and AIS/natural resource managers benefit from a formalized communication protocol, platform, or system? If so, what does that look like?
- How does law enforcement fit into the Mutual Aid Agreement? Is there
  additional work needed to make this process more convenient/conducive to
  interjurisdictional and interagency coordination?

**4:10 p.m.** 5. Symposium closing session

Αll

- Report out from all working sessions
- Recap progress and high-level discussions of the previous days
- Review next steps and ideas/needs for fall meeting

**4:30 p.m.** 6. Adjourn